

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/500,094	02/08/2000	Russel D. Leatherman	2400-505	2120
27820 7	7590 01/27/2004		EXAMINER	
WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C.			WASYLCHAK, STEVEN R	
P.O. BOX 128 CARY, NC 2			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			_
8	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
A division Action	09/500,094	LEATHERMAN ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven R. Wasylchak	3624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to available final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 200 ON 100	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE:		_	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: see		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo) will be entered a ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>29-35 and 49-55</u> .			

M

DR. GEOFFREY R. AKERS, P.E. PRIMARY EXAMINER

10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.



Continuation of 10. Other: in response to applicant's Remarks: on page 5 of Remarks, applicant hypothecates "... as each fueling position 14 "acts" (exr. quote) as a thin client... "instead of stating position 14 in fact is a thin client and has the necessary computer architecture delineated in the drawings specifically showing the thin clients and specifically showing any and all fat clients as well as any application servers. Hypothetication is not permissable. Hollidge teaches a thin client. One server is present in fig. 13(1307). It is connected to a card reader for credit card payment (col 2, L 44-55 and fig 15: visa) and establishes a thin client with off site application servers with its databases for handling credit card payment. In passing, on page 2 of the specification, second para., Gilbarco is not the inventor of US 5,602,745 or US 5,543,849.